IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ARBITRATION APPEAL NO.12 OF 2007

C.D.Shah & Ors. .. Appellants
Versus
M.D.Shah & Ors. .. Respondents

WITH

ARBITRATION APPEAL NO.13 OF 2007

M/s.K.M.Developera & Ors. .. Petitioners
Versus
Shah Kumar Giridharlal & Ors. .. Respondents

WITH

ARBITRATION APPEAL NO.14 OF 2007

M/s.K.M.Developers .. Appellants
Versus
Shah Kumar Giridharlal & Ors. .. Respondents

WITH

ARBITRATION APPEAL NO.15 OF 2007

M/s.K.M.Developers & Ors. .. Appellants
Versus
Kiritkumar Giridharlal Shah
and Ors. .. Respondents

WITH

ARBITRATION APPEAL NO.16 OF 2007

M/s.K.M.Developers .. Appellant
Versus
Kumar Giridharlal Shah .. Respondent

WITH

ARBITRATION APPEAL NO.17 OF 2007

M/s.K.M.Developers .. Appellant
Versus
Anantkumar Giridharlal Shah & Ors..Respondents

WITH

ARBITRATION APPEAL NO.18 OF 2007

M/s.K.M.Developers .. Appellants
Versus
Kirtikumar Giridharlal Shah .. Respondent

Mr.Denzil D'Mello for appellants.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : 8th June 2007.

P.C.

. Since these appeals are nothing but matters arising out of the orders passed by the Arbitral Tribunal having its seat at Mumbai and earlier orders of the Arbitral Tribunal were

subject matter of proceedings on the Original Side, although, the matters being styled as Arbitration Appeal, it would be fair, just and proper that the matters are transmitted to the Original Side for being placed before the learned Judge taking Arbitration Petitions.

2. This order is passed because, although, styled as appeals, some of the matters were placed earlier before the learned Single Judge on the Original Side as the Arbitral Tribunal is exercising jurisdiction at Mumbai. Office to act accordingly.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)